

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,731	07/10/2003	Tatsuhiko Shibuya	372106-102	2766	
38552 7	590 04/08/2005	EXAMINER			
DECHERT LLP (WASHINGTON, DC OFFICE) 1775 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			MOORE, MA	MOORE, MARGARET G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712		
		DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/616,731	SHIBUYA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Margaret G. Moore	1712		

		Margaret G. Moore	1712	:
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THF R	 EPLY FILED 21 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF		•	
1. 🔲 T a a F ti	the reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing pplicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) pplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple to Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance me periods:	g a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aba an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	ndonment of this app evidence, which plac e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a
a) 💆	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.		
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
been file CFR 1.1 above, il earned p	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on old is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a 7(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month- catent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). E OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee, atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. 🔲 T W A A	he reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App ras filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 ppeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 of ppeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	1.37 must be filed within two months (CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	ths of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	the Notice of
]	DMENTS	hut mains to the data of filing a bais	£	
(8	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NC		oecause
	 They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or 			the issues for
(0	I) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. 🔲 1	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
h	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		vill be entered and an	explanation of
	he status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	laim(s) allowed: laim(s) objected to:			
	laim(s) rejected: <u>17 to 20, 30 to 33</u> .			
	laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDA	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🔲 T	he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be	ut before or on the date of filing a f	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u>	<u>ot</u> be entered
_ a	ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an nd was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	_		·
е	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o howing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
	The request for reconsideration has been considered but	It does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
	<u>applicants have not sufficiently demonstrated a differen</u> sufficient and the section of the specification noted by a	ce in the prior art silica film and the pplicants in their response does no	at claimed. Mere alle ot "clearly establish" a	gations are not iny difference.
	For instance both the prior art and the claimed silica filr decompose. Note too that, given the breadth of the terr prior art upper limit of 650 and the claimed lower limit o	n "about", the Examiner does not s		
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).		No(s)	
12. 🗆	· ·	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Margaret G. Moore Prinary Examiner Actionit: 1712

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050405